

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER
BOARD OF ACQUISITION AND CONTRACT
HELD ON THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2016 AT 11:00 A.M.**

Deputy County Executive
Chairman, Board of Legislators
Budget Director
Deputy County Attorney
Commissioner of Finance
County Executive's Office
County Executive's Office
Budget
Board of Legislators
Board of Legislators
Board of Legislators Intern
Finance Intern
Secretary BAC
Assistant Secretary

Kevin Plunkett
Michael Kaplowitz
Larry Soule
Jim Robertson
AnnMarie Berg
Christine Sculti
Carl Pagano
Pat Haggerty
Greg Casciato
Gary Friedman
Daniel Koster
Gabrielle DeMatteas
Lisa Mrijaj
Valerie Rodriguez

Mr. Plunkett presided.

Mr. Plunkett said, "Good morning everyone and welcome to the meeting of the Board of Acquisition and Contract of May 5, 2016. For the record, has the Secretary to the Board gone to the reception area to invite any members of the public who wish to attend today's meeting to come to this conference room?"

Ms. Mrijaj confirmed that she had done so.

Mr. Plunkett said, "I will now ask the Budget Director who wishes to make a motion to take the floor."

Mr. Soule said, "I move to add item 28 (OnBase ID# 51964) to the agenda. This resolution would authorize the exemption from the Westchester County Procurement Policy the procurement of an agreement with JAMS, Inc., pursuant to Sec. 3(a)xxi of said Policy."

Mr. Plunkett seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

Mr. Plunkett said, "I understand the Board is not holding over any of the items on the agenda but there may be a motion to sever."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Yes sir. No hold overs but we would like to sever item #1 51773."

Mr. Plunkett said, "We will note a separate motion made by Chairman Michael Kaplowitz that item #1 on the agenda resolution 51773 be severed from the rest of the agenda. I will second the motion."

Mr. Kaplowitz and Mr. Soule unanimously approved.

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Just note the IMA language on the record for #18."

Mr. Plunkett said, "This is the language which is still part of the ongoing litigation which is part of the record when there are IMA's on the agenda from the Board of Legislators."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "As a matter of policy we would ask the administration to come to the Board of Legislators in a formal fashion, as we have done on so many items. I'm hereby officially inviting the administration to come and discuss this item for us, either through our normal committee structure or Committee of the Whole, and we can do it on our timely basis and be expeditious about it. The reason for that request is that I believe that given what I have reviewed and given what staff has reviewed at the legislative level we believe this is a policy matter that ultimately should be before the Board, when the issues of selling off assets, selling off income streams for bartering future, present value of future resources are items that both from a Charter standpoint and from a practical standpoint should be discussed at the Legislative level before we even get to an agreement with an actual firm. For example, Playland early on was an idea, a concept, and then Board of Legislators representatives were brought in early in the process. At various times when that doesn't occur, generally the partnership and the coordination between the two branches breaks down. So this I think is a bad way to start of what could very well be potentially a positive thing for the county with the benefit outweighing the cost but we just don't know and I think it just steps off in the wrong direction and I don't think there is a reason and I don't there is a real need to do that. I understand that there are no specific engagements that are being contemplated so I just don't see what the rush is. Beyond that I am very troubled by the issue of doing this on a commission basis with a minimum. For example, if we sold naming rights to the County Center that's something many of us have discussed. Is that something that we have to include? Are we going to have to pay them 2 ½% up to \$50,000,000.00 for that naming rights simply even if it wasn't generated by them as a concept? Is this something that they prima facie have to come up with? Is this something that we suggest over? If it is something that we suggest over we have an Office of Economic Development, we have a Real Estate office. We have some really good smart people in various areas. For example, one of the parts of this RFP deals with Financial Advisor services for the Department of Finance. We have often entered into bond anticipation notes. We recently did a refunding transaction. We certainly work on bond issues, bond anticipation notes into the future. This is included here and I would, at the very least, like to have a substantive question as to whether the fee structure is different under this arrangement than it is under the way we are currently doing it. That's a question that just substantively needs to be asked and I'd like to be able to take it off. It could very well be in the end that there is no difference. In fact it could actually be a savings to the taxpayers but I wouldn't know. None of us would have a clue because we are going to simply go off and engage this firm. Austin Avenue we asked the question very specifically, is the proposed agreement with this firm related to the \$15,000,000 to \$18,000,000 Austin Avenue county asset sale discussed during the 2016 Budget adoption? I would argue often discussed. We discuss it almost daily at this point. It really is a major asset that we are all contemplating in a matter of policy have discussed all opportunities will be included. If, in fact, Austin Avenue is potentially then open to a commission why am I paying them 2 ½ % of \$15-\$18 million when that's something we have contemplated? I don't need them to do that and that answer actually troubles me, all opportunities will be included. If it said this will be excluded than that is one thing that too raises questions. When you put it all together this could very well be and I understand this is an effectuation of the County Executive's stated goal in the State of the County and I think to look at your inventory to look at all assets on a present value basis whether future income or assets themselves is certainly something that is reasonable and something that the Board will understand. It is parenthetically sad that we are to the point where we are scrapping the bottom of the barrel and selling off many of the assets when Westchester County has been here since 1688 and will be here for many years to go, again that goes to policy and something I think there is a down side and a dark side to even moving in this direction. It says that on a sustainable basis we are not necessarily being able to meet our expenditures equal to our revenues on a sustainable basis. With that said, putting it all together, thank you for the opportunity to put this on the record. Good luck transcribing what I just said. If we could, I would request that the County Executive please hold this item for a discussion at the Legislative level to be able to ask and answer just some of the questions I thought of just in whole cloth now and I'm sure colleagues would like to have that same opportunity within the spirit of partnership and moving forward."

Mr. Plunkett said, "Thank you Chairman Kaplowitz. I'm going to just make a few comments I think the Commissioner of Finance may wish to add to them. First of all you did mention the County Executive at the State of the County Address did mention that we and the administration are looking at all of the assets that the county may have to help the taxpayers because there are assets that we believe are underutilized for purposes of helping the tax base helping the tax revenue. We work bipartisan and I want to thank you publicly again for the work on Playland that we accomplished at this Board two days ago and on Monday night at the Board of Legislators to come up with something that hopefully works in the future. We do from time to time at the administration get presentations from different groups of financial entities that come to us and talk to us about how we can maximize some of our assets for the basis for the benefit of the taxpayers. We have some great expertise and two of them are around the table with our Finance Commissioner and our Budget Director, but there are sometimes where presentations that the administration needs, if it thinks it is appropriate, to have some truly expert financial advisor come in and maybe give us an understanding of areas we should be thinking about because every one of these opportunities, if they pan out, will be presented to the Board of Legislators. Board of Legislators will be hopefully a partner as we move forward on this. There was no intent to exclude the Board of Legislators. It's really to have a knowledgeable understanding of an opportunity that comes our way. As I said we have had a number of large financial institutions with their teams come to the County Executive and say we can help you do this and as bright and as knowledgeable as we think we are we always think there is somebody out there who does this more regularly than we do and help the County. That's really what we are trying to do is put into place a standby entity CIBC who would be available to the administration and to the Board at the right time to be able to provide the financial knowledge and expertise if an opportunity comes our way. As I said, we have had presentations, we do think hard on these presentations. They come to us regularly. We could be looking at doing something that we think would be in the best interest of the county and the county taxpayers. That's the genesis of this. In having some discussions with you beforehand, and I thank you for the invitation and I am going to respectfully decline to come. Before we act on this we would be very prepared to come to the Board and discuss it but I will be calling for a vote today on this item. I do think having had some conversations with you and reading the resolution I did ask our Attorney Mr. Robertson to see if he could make it very clear in this resolution and in the transmittal letter that there is no engagement or no providing of services unless there is a specific request from the County. So you're concerned that if we were to have a naming rights opportunity with the County Center and we do not specifically request this entity that they would not be involved in any kind of a fee generated even if it is a contingency fee, which it is, they would not be eligible for it and I want to thank you for that suggestion and I think that was a very important suggestion. With that Madame Finance Commissioner do you have anything to add?"

Ms. Berg said, "I just want to state that the RFP was a two part RFP. There were two specific scopes of service. To your point the financial advisor that we always have on board, or had have had on board at least since 2009 or 2010, for our bonding does not pertain to this act or this resolution at all. This is only for the second scope which was for the PPP Financial Advisor Services. The bonding is unchanged. We currently have PFM as our Fiscal Advisor for bonding. Their contract is expired but we do have an option to renew and that will be coming forth the option to renew soon. We didn't do it at this time because we don't have a bonding at this time of year. We just wanted to do this scope first and then you will see that option to renew coming forth."

Mr. Kaplowitz asked, "Thank you. I'm confused. This item before us has 2 parts."

Ms. Berg answered, "The RFP has 2 parts."

Mr. Robertson said, "The RFP had 2 parts. There were 2 RFP's 2 scopes in one document. It was kind of like when we did the bus thing there were 2 scopes and you had to bid separately on each of those scopes."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "So it's the proposal for the provision of Financial Advisor Services."

Mr. Robertson said, "And there is a scope one and a scope two and you had to bid separately on each of those. This is only on the PPP one. The Public Private Partnership Financial Advisory Services which had a different scope of services. Not the scope one."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "So there is no delta. What we normally do on the bonding?"

Ms. Berg said, "This has nothing to do with that."

Ms. Robertson said, "Is totally different."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "I appreciate the clarification."

Mr. Plunkett said, "I will make the motion to amend."

Mr. Robertson said, "Reading the changes into the record. First paragraph transmittal letter last sentence after the words these services insert the following (, which shall only be provided at the request of the County,) and then continue with the sentence. Numerated paragraph #1 second line delete (at the request of the County). Going down to the 2nd page there is a paragraph that starts off with in consideration for services rendered insert (at the request of the County,). On the resolution page 2nd resolve clause, resolve that in consideration for services to be rendered insert (at the request of the County,)."

Mr. Plunkett asked, "Are there any other changes Mr. Robertson?"

Mr. Robertson said, "No sir."

Mr. Plunkett said, "Having made the motion in chief I will amend my motion to include changes that were made by our legal department through Mr. James Robertson, Esquire. Do I have a second for the amended motion?"

Mr. Soule seconded the motion.

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "So just so I understand how it works, this is an agreement with who is CIBC World Markets Corp. This is who we are entering this agreement with. What's their expertise in this area?"

Mr. Plunkett said, "Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce. It's a huge institution which has a entity or part of it which does financial advising on opportunities that come from other financial advisors to municipalities to give financial advice as requested."

Mr. Kaplowitz asked, "What's there particular expertise? Is it in asset sale? Is it tobacco securitization? What's there history?"

Ms. Berg said, "It's in public private partnerships. In this genre they have many expertise but this segment of their business, I don't want to say exclusively but it might be exclusive. they deal with public private partnerships."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Is this an exclusive from us to them? We brought in Billie Casper for golf."

Mr. Plunkett said, "No it is not exclusive. The Board of Legislators, if an opportunity would have presented itself, can go and hire their own financial advisor."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "I understand but we are hiring this firm at this time. I'm just curious why we wouldn't do it the other way which is contemplate ideas that come to us and then hire the firm with the expertise in the substantive area instead of just hiring one polyglot firm to review all these. These could be naming rights."

Mr. Plunkett said, "Every one of the opportunities would be what they call Public Private Partnership so that's the expertise of this organization."

Mr. Kaplowitz asked, "So if we wanted to hire a different firm would we need to have another A&C item to hire another firm?"

Mr. Plunkett answered, "Yes."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Which is again the reason why we are hiring this firm."

Mr. Plunkett said, "You may if an opportunity would come to the county and the administration thinks is worthy of review by the Board, the Board may say what did the CIBC say fine, we would like to hire an organization that the Board may want to have to review that opportunity. We understand that and we respect that. That's why this is not exclusive."

Mr. Kaplowitz asked, "There only compensation is on a commission basis if the transaction goes forward?"

Mr. Plunkett said, "It has to be a success fee. It has to close."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Some of these I read. I just want to put on the record. There is a minimum fee and a percentage fee based on project value up to \$50,000,000."

Mr. Robertson said, "It's a total project value. If you look at the top and then total project value is defined beneath that meaning the aggregate value of the monetary consideration received by the County pursuant to any transaction. The payment of such monetary consideration can be in the form of an upfront payment or a deferred payment discounted to present day value at a mutually-agreed discount rate that reflects the County's cost of capital."

Ms. Berg said, "That could relieve your concern about a small project. It would lend itself to a larger opportunity."

Mr. Kaplowitz said, "Again, Mr. Deputy County Executive, these are all the questions, actually these aren't all the questions, I can't represent the Board in all its questions but these are precisely the kind of questions that would be asked exactly at the meeting. I'm not going to request again but I appreciate your concern."

Mr. Plunkett said, "As I said, if you wish us to come down and try to explain it further we can help try to do that with the Commissioner of Finance or Budget Director or myself."

Acquisition & Contract. Minutes of the meeting 5/3/16 and the SEQR Review for agenda items.

L. Mrijaj

Mr. Plunkett moved to receive and file the foregoing minutes and SEQR review, which were duly seconded and approved.

1. (51773) **Finance** – Enter into an agreement with CIBC World Markets Corp. to provide Public-Private Partnership Financial Advisor Services to enable the County to evaluate opportunities for potential maximization of County assets, from 4/1/16 – 12/31/18, payable on a contingency fee basis upon successful completion of various transactions.

A. Berg

Held Over By Board Of Legislators – 4/28/16

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and approved by Mr. Plunkett and Mr. Soule. Mr. Kaplowitz voted in the negative.

2. (51729) **CE/Office for Women** - Enter into an agreement with My Sisters' Place, Inc. to provide case management services and on-site temporary child care through its Tot Drop Program, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for an amount not to exceed \$77,250.

Astorino/Kaplowitz/Schlaff

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

3. (51730) **CE/Office for Women** - Enter into an agreement with Pace University to provide civil legal services for low-income victims of domestic violence who reside in New Rochelle or fall within the catchment area served by the New Rochelle Family Court, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for an amount not to exceed \$61,800.

Astorino/Kaplowitz/Schlaff

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

4. (51731) **CE/Office for Women** - Pursuant to Section 3(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with Westchester Hispanic Coalition, Inc.

Astorino/Kaplowitz/Schlaff

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

5. (51732) **CE/Office for Women** - Enter into an agreement with Westchester Hispanic Coalition, Inc. to provide advocacy and support services to low-income workers who have been victims of wage theft, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for an amount not to exceed \$20,600.

Astorino/Kaplowitz/Schlaff

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

6. (51743) **CE/Office for Women** - Enter into an agreement with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley for the provision of civil legal services to the indigent at its satellite office in Peekskill, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for an amount not to exceed \$107,220.

R. Schlaff

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

7. (51461) **Board of Elections** - Amend a grant agreement with the State of New York to accept New York State Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities funding to improve access to polling places, in order to extend the term of the agreement for an additional one year term from 4/1/16 – 3/31/17.

LaFayette/Colety

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

8. (51747) **Planning** - Pursuant to Section 3(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley.

E. Buroughs

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

9. (51748) **Planning** - Enter into an agreement with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley for the provision of legal services to eligible low income households needing eviction prevention, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for a total amount not to exceed \$364,118.

E. Buroughs

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

10. (51749) **Planning** - Pursuant to Section 3(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley.

E. Buroughs

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

11. (51750) **Planning** - Enter into an agreement with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley to provide legal services to prevent loss of affordable rental units through changes via renovations, demolitions, revitalizations and relocations or any other relevant building developments that affect a sustained housing supply or standards of habitability, affordability or rent-setting practices and to provide legal representation to tenants in subsidized housing complexes whose rights may have been violated, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, for a total amount not to exceed \$106,090.

E. Buroughs

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

12. (51759) **Social Services** - Enter into an agreement with Fordham University to provide program evaluation consulting services pursuant to the Youth Homeless Prevention Initiative entitled "Westchester Building Futures" from 9/30/15 – 9/29/16, for an amount of \$145,000.

K. McGuire

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

13. (51762) **Social Services** - Amend an agreement with Harrison Edwards, Inc. for the provision of marketing and promotional services for the County's Ready-to-Work initiative, from 1/15/16 – 10/31/18, for a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$100,000, by **1)** expanding the scope of services to include additional advertising placement and advertising production services during the first year of the contract; and **2)** increasing the amount by \$158,351, for a new not to exceed amount of \$258,351, in order to reimburse Harrison Edwards for providing said additional services.

K. McGuire

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

14. (51413) **Senior Programs** - Renew a 2/19/15 Resolution with Legal Services of the Hudson Valley, to provide Legal Assistance Services to Westchester County residents, 60 and over under Title III-B of the Older Americans Act, for an amount not to exceed \$324,612 from 1/1/16 – 12/31/16, and **1)** amend the agreement to incorporate into the first successive Agreement and all the option agreements thereafter certain "Standard Assurances" including federal and state revised statutes, regulations, policies and contract requirements as mandated by the New York State Office for the Aging, under the listed terms and conditions.

M. Carpenter

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

15. (51751) **College** – Extend an agreement with the Regents of the University of California, acting by and through the University of California, Los Angeles, whereby career and college awareness/readiness services will be provided to English language learners from the Ossining and Tarrytown school districts to a new expiration date of 12/31/16.

B. Miles

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

16. (51758) **College** - Enter into an agreement with the State of New York to pay Westchester Community College to host and administer the High School Equivalency Test for the period 1/1/16 – 12/31/17, with an option to renew for an additional one-year term, for an amount not to exceed \$46,060 at the rates specified in Appendix "A".

B. Miles

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

17. (51764) **College** - Enter into an agreement with State University of New York to provide the College with a grant in an amount not to exceed \$4,808,396 for the first year budget period of 7/1/16 - 6/30/17, to be used by the College to fund the operation of its Educational Opportunity Center for a five (5) year term from 7/1/16 – 6/30/21, for a total aggregate amount of \$25,528,430, under the listed terms and conditions.

B. Miles

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

18. (51700) **Correction** - Enter into an IMA with the City of New Rochelle to provide round trip transportation for prisoners between the New Rochelle City Court and the Westchester County Jail located in Valhalla, New York, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/17, for a total not to exceed amount of \$591,500, under the listed terms and conditions.

K. Cheverko

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

19. (51701) **Correction** - Enter into an agreement with the Westchester County Health Care Corporation to provide, through its Occupational Health Center, medical consulting services necessary for satisfactory implementation of the Uniform Attendance Standards of the Department of Correction, including, without limitation, reviewing the medical status of employees to determine fitness for duty, for a total not to exceed amount of \$90,000, from 1/1/16 – 12/31/18, under the listed terms and conditions.

K. Cheverko

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

20. (51744) **Probation** - Enter into an agreement with the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services to receive grant funds under Project "GIVE" ("Gun Involved Violence Elimination" Initiative) in an amount not to exceed \$81,000, from 7/1/16 – 6/30/17.

R. Pozzi

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

21. (51575) **Solid Waste Commission** - Pursuant to Section 8(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with Steven Sledzik, Esq., for the provision of administrative hearing officer services on behalf of the Westchester County Solid Waste Commission, from 3/1/16 – 2/28/17, in an amount not to exceed \$15,000.

D. Angiolillo

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

22. (51766) **DPW/Transportation** – Contract No. 15-222, for Janitorial Services at District Office, 100 East First Street, Mount Vernon, New York. Awarded to Low Bidder, CTE Incorporated, Carle Place, NY, in the amount of \$331,000. (4 bids)

J. Pisco

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

23. (51767) **DPW/Transportation** – Contract No. 15-223, for Janitorial Services at District Office, 131 Warburton Avenue, Yonkers, New York. Awarded to Low Bidder, Divine Professional Cleaning Services, Inc. (MBE), Bronx, New York, in the amount of \$242,100.16. (5 bids)

J. Pisco

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

24. (51768) **DPW/Transportation** – Contract No. 15-564, for Miscellaneous Roadway Improvements, Union Avenue, from Mamaroneck Avenue to West Street, Town of Harrison, New York. Awarded to Low Bidder, PCI Industries Corp., Mount Vernon, in the amount of \$446,849.40. (6 bids)

J. Pisco

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

25. (51769) **DPW/Transportation** – Contract No. 14-528, with American Petroleum Equipment & Construction Company, Inc. for Replacement of Dynamometer System, Central Bus Maintenance Facility, Yonkers, New York. Change Order No. 1 in the amount of \$161,511.

J. Pisco

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

26. (51772) **DPW/Transportation** – Contract No. 15-545, for Hurricane Sandy Rehabilitation – Phase III, Sump Pump and Below-Grade Unit Process Power, Instrumentation and Control Replacement, Yonkers Joint Wastewater Treatment Plant, New York. Awarded to Low Bidder, Mace Contracting Corp. (MBE), New Rochelle, in the amount of \$6,750,000. Approved Atlantic Electric, Inc. (WBE), Mahopac, NY, as a subcontractor. (3 bids)

J. Pisco

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.

27. (51754) **DEF** - Pursuant to Section 3(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with Steven T. Sledzik, Esq., to provide hearing officer services to the County and hold hearings on an "as needed" basis in connection with violations of the County Source Separation Law pursuant to Chapter 825 of the Laws of Westchester, from 5/1/16 – 4/30/17.

T. Lauro

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.


28. (51964) **County Attorney** – Pursuant to Section 3(a)(xxi) of the Westchester County Procurement Policy and Procedures, to exempt from procurement an agreement with JAMS, Inc. for the provision of professional mediation services in connection with the civil matter Bellaby v. County of Westchester.

R. Meehan

Mr. Plunkett moved the resolution, which was duly seconded and unanimously approved.


This meeting was recorded and an electronic copy of the minutes is on file with the Board of Acquisition and Contract.

There being no further business, the Chair declared the meeting adjourned. All approved resolutions are on file electronically with the Board of Acquisition and Contract.



Lisa Mrijaj, Secretary

I have reviewed the foregoing minutes and have found them to be correct as written.

Dated 5/10/16


Lisa Mrijaj, Secretary

Statement of Michael B. Kaplowitz,
Chairman of the Westchester County Board of Legislators

In 2011 the Westchester County Board of Legislators passed a local law that, among other things, made Board of Legislators' action sufficient to authorize the County Executive to implement Inter-Municipal Agreements – without approval of the Board of Acquisition and Contracts. The local law has subsequently been judicially sustained.

There is today a resolution before the Board of Acquisition and Contracts authorizing the County Executive to enter into Inter-Municipal Agreements.

I will vote for the resolution because I am in favor of the underlying matter. I recognize that the resolution is on the agenda pro forma.